Appendix 3 - Risk Register

	Risk				Date			
Title	Description	Opps/Threat	Cause	Consequence	Raised	Owner	Control description	Due date
Inadequate	Inadequate	Threat	Insufficient time	Inaccurate or		Head of	Allocate sufficient	Particularly important in
research and	research and		allocated for	incomplete	June	Service	time and resources	Phase 1 and the first 3
data collection	data collection		research, lack of	information in the	2018		for research and	months.
			access to	appraisal leading to			data collection.	
			relevant	potential			Produce a list of	
			information.	misinterpretation of			available	
				the area's			information sources	
				significance and			and ensure access	
				potential negative			to relevant data.	
				impacts on future			Engage qualified	
				planning decisions.			consultants.	
							Implement a quality	
							control checking	
							process to verify the	
32							accuracy and	
							completeness of the	
							collected data.	
							Regular meetings	
							with the consultants	
							will be held. A	
							thorough list of	
							existing resources	
							will be provided in a	
							shared library.	

Lack of	Threat	Failure to	Limited	June	Head of	Develop a	Ongoing throughout the
stakeholder		involve all	understanding of	2018	Service	stakeholder	lifetime of the project as
engagement		relevant	their perspective,			engagement plan	required and particularly
		stakeholders in	potential opposition			that identifies key	at the consultation stages
		the appraisal	or lack of support			stakeholders and	and prior to adoption.
		process.	for the appraisal,			outlines strategies	
			loss of trust and			for their	
			future effective			involvement.	
			relationships			Conduct regular	
			potentially			meetings,	
			undermined.			workshops and	
						public consultations	
						to gather input and	
						feedback. Ensure	
						stakeholders are	
						informed about the	
ω						progress and	
25						outcomes of the	
						appraisal document.	

Appropriate	Plan Production	Threat	Expectations	Delays in the	June	Head of	The budget	Regular Review
resources	will have		may exceed the	production process,	2018	Service	available for this	
	budgetary		resources which	compromised			project covers the	
	implications		have been	quality of the			consultant's fee in	
	which need to		allocated. Lack	appraisal or inability			response to the	
	reflect the		of Council staff	to complete the			project brief. Any	
	scale/nature of		time to feed	appraisal within the			costs over and	
	plan envisaged.		into the	proposed			above this will be	
			consultant's	timeframe. It would			subject to further	
			work.	have negative			agreement from the	
				implications for the			Head of Service.	
				reputation of the				
				Council and Growth				
				Board if the plan did				
				not match stated				
				expectations				
323				because of				
3				budgetary				
				constraints.				
				Likewise there				
				would be adverse				
				financial				
				consequences if				
				spending				
				outstripped the				
				available budgets.				

Coordination and communication with the consultants	Lack of coordination and communication	Threat	Poor communication among team members or failure to communicate effectively with stakeholders	Misunderstandings, delays or conflicts during the production process, potentially leading to compromised quality or missed deadlines.	June 2018	Head of Service	Establish effective communication channels and protocols within the project team. Regular catch ups and open lines of communication. Progress reports and timely responses to enquiries.	Regular Review
Key staff changes	Staff leaving or change in/ending contract with consultant	Threat	Staff departures, change of consultants or end of contract with consultants	Loss of consistency, knowledge, decision making and confidence.	June 2018	Head of Service	Ensure excellent document filing, project management update, briefing notes.	Regular review